Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his) capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL) OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and) OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,) in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES) FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ VS. TYSON FOODS, INC., et al, Defendants.

THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

KERRY KINYON, produced as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 4th day of June, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

		Page 6
1	be sworn in.	
2	KERRY KINYON	
3	having first been duly sworn to testify the truth,	
4	the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified	
5	as follows:	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR BULLOCK:	
8	State your name, please.	
9	A Kerry Kinyon	
10	Q Mr. Kinyon, are you represented by counsel	09:07AM
11	here today?	
12	A No, I'm not.	
13	Q Okay. As we go through the questions here	
14	today, Mr. Kinyon, I will try not to cut off your	
15	answers. We want to be sure we get complete answers	09:08AM
16	and that you get to fully respond to my questions.	
17	Similarly, sometimes we get to going fast, and the	
18	witness has a tendency to walk over the lawyer and	
19	answer the question before it's fully stated. So if	
20	you can forebear on me, I will attempt to do so for	09:08AM
21	you, sir.	
22	The other thing is that I need to have for the	
23	Record, verbal answers and particularly we need to	
24	try to avoid uh-huhs and huh-uhs because those,	
25	while they communicate personally, in transcribing	09:08AM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	them,	those are difficult. Is that fine with you?	
2	A	That's fine.	
3	Q	Okay. You're appearing here today pursuant to	
4	a sub <u>r</u>	poena?	
5	A	Yes, I am.	09:09AM
6	Q	And since you were subpoenaed, did you review	
7	any do	ocuments in preparation of testifying here	
8	today	?	
9	A	No, I have not.	
10	Q	Have you conferred with anyone in preparation	09:09AM
11	for th	nis deposition?	
12	A	Yes, I have.	
13	Q	And who was that?	
14	A	Nicole Longwell.	
15	Q	And when was that?	09:09AM
16	A	Yesterday morning.	
17	Q	And how long was that meeting?	
18	A	Approximately 45 minutes, maybe an hour.	
19	Q	Have you discussed this this deposition	
20	with a	anyone else?	09:09AM
21	A	No, I have not.	
22	Q	Where are you currently employed?	
23	A	<pre>[I'm unemployed.]</pre>	
24	Q	And how long has that been?	
25	A	Since end of November 2006.	09:09AM

1	Q At that time what was your employment?
2	<pre>Vice-president, Peterson Farms.</pre>
3	Could (you go (through briefly what) (your
4	<pre>(employment) history (has) been?)</pre>
5	A (I) started with Peterson Farms in 1981, started (09:10AM)
6	out as an accountant, progressed through purchasing.
7	My primary responsibility was purchasing the grain
8	and soybean meal and the commodities, things like
9	that. Progressed on up to vice-president of
10	purchasing. Became chief operating officer, I 09:10AM
11	believe, in 2001 for a period of maybe two and a
12	half (years to three years.)
13	And was there a period of time that you were
14	replaced as chief operating officer after you
15	(achieved) (it?)
16	There was some reorganization, and the title
17	of COO was done away with, and everyone was just
18	<pre>made vice-presidents.</pre>
19	Q At the time that you were the chief operating
20	officer, who was your supervisor; who did you report 09:11AM
21	to?
22	A (I) reported to the board of Peterson Farms and
23	Lloyd Peterson.
24	And when you became vice-president, who did
25	you report to?

1	A	Blake Evans.	
2	Q	(How did that reorganization what prompted	
3	that	reorganization to the extent that you know?	
4	A	Well, I think Blake came into the company as a	
5	grand	son of Lloyd Peterson, and just felt like []	(09:11AM)
6	guess	he became, I guess, CEO, and just put on	
7	every	body on the executive committee on the level of	
8	vice-	president.	
9	Q	Could you go through your education starting	
10	with	high school?	09:12AM
11	A	Graduated Bentonville High School,	
12	Bento	nville, Arkansas. Completed approximately a	
13	year	and a half at the University of Arkansas and	
14	compl	eted a business management degree at the	
15	Unive	rsity of West Florida in Pensacola, Florida.	09:12AM
16	Q	And when was that?	
17	A	Let's see. Completed my degree I believe in	
18	1980	or '81.	
19	Q	That's the degree from West Florida?	
20	A	Yes, business management.	09:12AM
21	Q	Since then have you had any other formal	
22	educa	tion?	
23	A	No. I was in the military four years, in the	
24	Navy	between University of Arkansas and University	
25	of We	st Florida but	09:12AM

Page 131

		raue :
	-	131:8 -132:12
1take o	our break.	Lack of Founda
_		witness cannot
2	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the Record.	company as his
3 The ti	me is now 2:49 p.m.	was taken after
	(Following a short recess at 2:50 p m.,	employment waterminated und
4		Civ. P. 30(b)(6
5 procee	edings continued on the Record at 3:00 p.m.)	801 (not an adr
6	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the	party opponent
	The time is now 2:00 p.m.	testimony a lac
7 Record	1. The time is now 3:00 p.m.	personal knowl
80	I hand you Exhibit 38, which is Kinyon	calls for Hearsa
Odenosi	tion exhibit Bates numbered PFIRWE 0004943.	FRE 801; REL
		402; PREJUDI
10Do you	recognize this?	Document (Sta
11A	Vaguely, yes	contains hearsa
1.00	Okay, and could you identify it for the	hearsay; Hearsa - Document fai
120	Oxay, and could for idensity so for one	any exception f
13Record	<mark>1?</mark>	document conta
14A	This is from Thomas Bain, our controller of	in that the alleg
MANICOHI	ompany, and I believe this was information	is relaying hear
15the co	ompany, and I believe this was intoimation	third parties;
16having	to do with the focus study that Blake was	RELEVANCE
17doina	on the litter compost.	PREJUDICE 4
		Document and
182	And this is dated September 14th, 2006?	regarding "all g
19Å	Yes.	could include g
202	And	within the Euch Spavinaw wate
202		growers which
21 ^A	Yes.	operating under
222	It is survey of growers, is it not, reporting	Order limiting
gathe re	esults of a survey of growers regarding litter?	beyond what is
S2 cue L		under ODAFF
24 ^A	Yes.	governing IRW
250	Okay. Do you see where it says 54 percent of	makes the intro
		such evidence
		prejudical than
		as to activities
1		# I FX V/V

Lack of Foundation - this witness cannot bind the company as his deposition was taken after his employment was terminated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and FRE 801 (not an admission by a party opponent); witness' testimony a lack of personal knowledge; and calls for Hearsay under FRE 801; RELEVANCE 402; PREJUDICE 403 Document (State Ex 4009) contains hearsay within hearsay; Hearsay FRE 802 - Document fails to meet any exception for hearsay; document contains hearsay in that the alleged speaker is relaying hearsay from third parties; RELEVANCE 402 AND PREJUDICE 403 -Document and testimony regarding "all growers" could include growers within the Eucha/ Spavinaw watershed growers which are operating under a Court Order limiting application beyond what is required under ODAFF regulations governing IRW which makes the introduction of such evidence more prejudical than probative as to activities within the IRW; **AUTHENTICATION 901**

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

Page 132

	1496 132
1the growers would commit their litter to be	
2composted?	
3A Yes	
40 Do you see that?	
5 A Yes	03:02PM
And then it goes on down and says, assuming	
7this is a fair sample of how all our growers would	
8respond, we would have 48,000 tons of litter	
gcommitted available for use. These growers would	
10give the litter away if someone would remove it from	03:02PM
11the farms. Do you see that?	
12A Yes, sir.	
Does that not suggest to you that your	
14statement that litter is valueful is not universally	
15held?	03:03PM
MS LONGWELL: Object to form.	
17A Well, it goes back to I guess what I learned	
18in grade school. It's an ever-changing world and,	
19you know, I think when I was over the years with	
20the company and on up, it was a valuable commodity,	03:03PM
21and but, like I said, you do have different types	
22of growers out there now that are not choosing to	
23farm catile or grow hay or anything like that.	
24They're just choosing to grow chickens and there,	
25again, I can't answer for those growers, but I have	03 × 03 PM

Page 133

1 always	known it to be a valuable commodity.
29	54 percent it was your conclusion, the
3compan;	y's conclusion that 54 percent of your growers
4would	just give it away if somebody would pay to
5haul i	t off; correct?
6	MS. LONGWELL: Object to the form. Sorry.
7 A	That's what it says, correct.
88	Do you have any opinion as to what would
9prompt	growers to just give away the litter?
10A	Well, if they have no personal need for it,
11that m	ight be their best way out.
120	Best way out of what?
13A	Less cost.
142	Kinyon Deposition Exhibit 40, which is Bates
15number	ed PFIRWE 0004715. Do you recognize that?
16 ^A	Maybe vaguely
172	Do you recall this is October 10th, 2006,
18and yo	u were the person, the final person that
19LeNarz	addressed this to?
20A	That's what it appears, yes
210	And this is about the Jim Whitt I think
22we spo	ke about him earlier; was that Jim Whitt?
23Maybe	not, but Jim Whitt and his associates who have
24some a	lternatives for litter; is that correct?
I	
25 ^A	Yes.
25 ^A	Yes.

133:2-133:13 Lack of Foundation - this witness cannot bind the company as his deposition was taken after his employment was terminated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and FRE 801 (not an admission by a party opponent); witness' testimony a lack of personal knowledge; and calls for Hearsay under FRE 801; RELEVANCE 402; PREJUDICE 403 Document (State Ex 4009) contains hearsay within hearsay; Hearsay FRE 802 - Document fails to meet any exception for hearsay; document contains hearsay in that the alleged speaker is relaying hearsay from third parties; **RELEVANCE 402 AND** PREJUDICE 403 -Document and testimony regarding "all growers" could include growers within the Eucha/ Spavinaw watershed growers which are operating under a Court Order limiting application beyond what is required under ODAFF regulations governing IRW which makes the introduction of such evidence more prejudical than probative as to the IRW; **AUTHENTICATION 901**

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878